arXiv:chao-dyn/9911020v1 16 Nov 1999

Billiard Sequences and the Property of Splittability of Integrable Hamilton Systems

A. Yu. Shahverdian

(Yerevan Physics Institute)

1. Introduction

There are two kinds of billiards in $(n+1)$ dimensional unit cube D^{n+1}, $(n \geq 1)$, differing by accepted reflection law, are considered in the classical mechanics and dynamical systems theory. It is the usual billiards with the reflection law – the angle of incidence is equal to angle of reflection, and the bouncing billiards, when a particle, moving whithin cube rectilinearly and uniformly in a given direction $\vec{\theta} = (\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^{n+1})$, if reaching any edge of cube is bounced vertically to the opposite one and then goes parallelly to its previous path. On the other hand, there are known two different kinds of one dimensional periodical motion: the rotation and libration [1-4]. In the case of bouncing billiards each of the coordinates of the orbit's point performs the rotation, whereas in the case of usual billiards each of them performs the libration; in either cases the frequencies are equal to components of vector $\vec{\theta}$. The orbits of both motions, if considering on the $(n+1)$ dimensional torus $Tor^{(n+1)}$ and cube D^{n+1} respectively, are the continuous lines which either are closed (periodical motion) or, under assumption that $\vec{\theta}$ is nonresonance vector, according to H. Weyl theorem ([5], see also [2, 3, 6]), are distributed uniformly on the whole surface of $Tor^{(n+1)}$ or in cube D^{n+1} (conditionally periodic motion). For instance, the Kepler problem on motion of planets [2] can be considered in terms of bouncing billiards, while for the problems on small vibrations of the pendulum [1, 2], the Lissazhu figures [1, 2], and the vibrations of atom [1] it is natural to consider the orbits are given in the cube, in cartesian coordinates, and not on the torus.

We obtain the terms $\vec{x}_k \in D^n$ of the (l-th, $1 \leq l \leq n+1$) billiard sequence from the consecuitive points $\vec{z}_k$ of intersections of an usual billiard's orbit with two opposite edges of D^{n+1}, if erase from every vector $\vec{z}_k$ the (l-th) component which is equal to 0 or 1. The main result of present paper establishes that under some simple assumption on the reduced frequency θ^{i+1}/θ^l, the (i-th, $1 \leq i \leq n$) by-coordinate billiard sequence $(x_k^{(i)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ can be splitted into countable set of countable pairwise disjoint subsequences in such a way that the restriction of the return map $\pi_i : x_k^{(i)} \to x_{k+1}^{(i)}$ on each of them appears the rotation transformation of the form

$$a + b\{\alpha + \beta k\} \qquad (k = 1, 2, \ldots) \tag{1}$$

of the circle $\{x : |x - a| = |b|\}$. Such kind of splitting into finite number of subsequences with the simultaneous exact estimating the density of arising exceptional sets is also considered. We note that the return map $\vec{\pi} = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ is similar to Poincare map, which inherits [4] the basic features of the whole orbit.

It is known that the libration occurs in the neighborhood of the elliptic points in phase space [1-4, 7]. Hence, in spite of our Theorems 1-4 relate to billiards in cube, we note that through use of canonical transformations [1-3], they are also applicable to the integrable and weak perturbated Hamilton systems. By this reason, the corresponding theoretical-mechanical reformulations – the Corollaries 1-3, are also provided.

Note in the end, that due to the results below, the coexistence in weak chaotic Hamilton systems of two (undistinguished in small bins of phase space) different kinds of motion, mentioned by I. Prigogine (for the case of weak stable systems, [7], Ch. 2), is reduced to known coexistence of the discrete and continuous forms.

2. Main results

This work contains improved formulations of our results on billiard boundary sequences, announced before in the note [8]; the corresponding theoretical-mechanical reformulations are also given. In order to emphasize the underlying dynamical context, in the latter statements the terminology "conditionally periodic libration in cube" is used. In the "action-angle" coordinates [1-4, 9-11], if we consider the "angle" variables as the cartesian coordinates, the orbits of such motion are exactly the usual billiard's orbits.

As in [8], we define the billiard sequence of usual billiard in cube D^{n+1} as follows. Let for a pregiven index $1 \le l \le n+1$ the $\vec{z}_k$ be all the points of an orbit for each of which $z_k^{(l)} = 0, 1$ (it is supposed that the orbits do not intersect the ribs of cube D^{n+1} and the index $k \ge 1$ increases with time). The sequence $B_{\vec{\theta}} = (\vec{x}_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of those points from D^n, for which $x_k^{(s)} = z_k^{(s)}$ whenever $1 \le s \le l-1$ and $x_k^{(s)} = z_k^{(s+1)}$ whenever $l < s \le n$ we call the

(l-th) billiard (boundary) sequence. We note that the Poincare section of bouncing billiard's orbit consists of the points of the form (see [4]),

$$(\{\alpha_1+\beta_1 k\},\ldots,\{\alpha_n+\beta_n k\}) \qquad (k=1,2,\ldots) \tag{2}$$

($\{.\}$ is the fractional part of number). It is not difficult to show, for usual billiards their billiard sequences $B_{\vec{\theta}}$ are of the form

$$(||\alpha_1+\beta_1 k||,\ldots,||\alpha_n+\beta_n k||) \qquad (k=1,2,\ldots) \tag{3}$$

where the quantity

$$||x|| = 2\min(\{x\}, 1-\{x\})$$

is so-called "the distance to the nearest integer" of point $x \in R^1$, that is used in the theory of Diophantine approximations [6, 12, 13]. In contrast to sequences of fractional parts from Eq. (2), which are studied quite well (see details in [6]), the properties of sequences (3) are almost unknown (unless the results from [8], [14] and several interesting but isolated number-theoretical relations, can be found in [6], Ch. 2).

Our results relate to structure and properties of the by-coordinate sequences $B_{\vec{\theta},i}=(x_k^{(i)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and their return map $\pi_i : x_k^{(i)} \to x_{k+1}^{(i)}$ under two assumptions, which are mentioned in all further formulations:

$$1/2<\omega_i<1 \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_i=|\theta^{(i+1)}/\theta^{(l)}| \qquad (1\le i\le n)\ , \tag{4}$$

and the (reduced) frequency ω_i is irrational number. The restriction (4) (which is equivalent to such one, accepted in [8]) on the billiards under consideration is arised from the method applied. This condition appears to be very natural and is quite enough (due to some property of symmetry of the discrepancy) in order to research the discrepancies of the general sequences of fractional parts ([14]).

The next Theorem 1 establishes the property of splittability of billiard by-coordinate sequences $B_{\vec{\theta},i}$ into countable number of subsequences of fractional parts of form (1). Theorem 2 estimates the rate of the split process in dependence on arithmetical characteristics of the frequency ω_i. The metrical Theorem 3 and the Theorem 4 estimate this rate. Also, we formulate the theoretical-mechanical analogies of these theorems, the Corollaries 1-3, are based on representation of by-coordinate return map π_i in a form of (countable or finite) set of the discrete rotation transformations of circles.

Theorem 1 *If the condition (4) is satisfied, then there exists a partition of the natural series N into countable number of countable and pairwise disjoint subsets $N_{i,s}$,*

$$N = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} N_{i,s} \ , \qquad N_{i,s_1} \cap N_{i,s_2} = 0 \qquad (s_1 \neq s_2) \ , \tag{5}$$

such that for some numbers $a_{i,s}$, $b_{i,s}$, $\alpha_{i,s}$, $\beta_{i,s}$ and every $s \geq 1$ the equalities

$$x^{(i)}_{\nu_{i,s}(k)} = a_{i,s} + b_{i,s}\{\alpha_{i,s} + \beta_{i,s}\ k\} \qquad (k = 1, 2, \ldots) \tag{6}$$

hold. Here the function $\nu_{i,s}$ is numbered the set $N_{i,s}$ in increasing order of its terms and each $\beta_{i,s}$ is irrational of the form $\beta_{i,s} = r_{i,s}(\omega_i)$ where $r_{i,s}$ is a fractional-linear transformation with entire coefficients.

What is more, it can be also shown, that for each of the coefficients $\beta_{i,s}$ from Eq. (6), one of the following 5 simple relationships on equivalence,

$$\beta_{i,s} \sim \omega_i, \quad \beta_{i,s} \sim \omega_i/2, \quad \beta_{i,s}/2 \sim \omega_i, \quad 2\beta_{i,s} \sim \omega_i, \quad 2\beta_{i,s} \sim 1 - \omega_i$$

holds. We recall (see [12, 13]), that for large enough values of index k the k-th coefficients of expansion into regular continued fraction of two equivalent numbers are coincided.

In the formulations of Corollaries 1-3, we use the terms "rate of splitting" and "boundary motion". The first one means the density of the corresponding exceptional set, while the latter means the motion of terms of the by-coordinate billiard sequences $B_{\vec{\theta},i} = x^{(i)}_k$, determined by return map

$$\vec{\pi} = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_i(x^{(i)}_k) = \pi_i(x^{(i)}_{k+1}) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n;\ k = 1, 2, \ldots) \ . \tag{7}$$

Indeed, if we introduce a discrete time $t' = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ in such a way that the fall of moving particle on two opposite edges of D^{n+1} occures at its consecuitive moments (k and $k+1$), the Eq. (7) can be understood as the equation of motion of the point $x^{(i)}_k$ in respect of t'. Furthermore, through the function $\nu_{i,s}$ defined in Theorem 1, we can introduce the splitting of the time series t' into countable number of discrete independent times $t'_{i,s}(= N)$,

$$k \in t'_{i,s} \quad <=> \quad \nu_{i,s}(k) \in N_{i,s} \ .$$

Then, according to Theorem 1, the motion of point $x^{(i)}_{\nu_{i,s}(k)}$ in respect of time $t'_{i,s} = \{k : k \geq 1\}$ appears a uniform discrete rotation of the circle $\{x : |x - a_{i,s}| = |b_{i,s}|\}$ with the frequency $\beta_{i,s}$. The function $\nu_{i,s}$ can also be explicitly expressed by some characteristics (the functions λ and τ below) of the frequency ω_i.

Corollary 1 *Let us have a conditionally periodic libration in cube D^{n+1} and for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ the condition (4) is satisfied. Then the boundary motion of i-th coordinate $x^{(i)}_k$ of point $\vec{x}_k \in B_{\vec{\theta}}$ can be splitted into a countable set of discrete uniform rotations.*

In order to formulate the next two theorems we need some definitions. For numbers $x \in (0, 1/2)$ we consider the expansion into continued fraction of the form (see [8]):

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_0|}{|a_1} + \frac{\epsilon_1|}{|a_2} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_k|}{|a_{k+1}} + \cdots \tag{8}$$

where $\epsilon_0 = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$ the coefficients $a_k = a_k(x)$ and $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_k(x)$ are defined as follows

$$a_k = \begin{cases} [1/\psi^{k-1}] & \{1/\psi^{k-1}\} \leq 1/2 \\ [1/\psi^{k-1}] + 1 & \{1/\psi^{k-1}\} > 1/2 \end{cases}, \quad \epsilon_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \{1/\psi^{k-1}\} \leq 1/2 \\ \text{-1} & \{1/\psi^{k-1}\} > 1/2\ . \end{cases}$$

Here [.] and {.} are the entire and fractional parts of number respectively, $\psi^0(x) \equiv x$, and for $k \geq 1$ ψ^k is k-th iterate of the function ψ,

$$\psi(x) = \min\ (\{1/x\}, 1 - \{1/x\}) \quad (= \frac{1}{2}||1/x||)\ . \tag{9}$$

We use also the binary coefficients ρ_k: $\rho_k = 0$ whenever $\epsilon_k = -1$ and $\rho_k = 1$ whenever $\epsilon_k = 1$ and rewrite the expansion (8) in the form

$$x = [a_1, a_2, \ldots; \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots]\ . \tag{10}$$

This modified expansion appears to be more appropriate tool for considering problems (as well as for problems concerning the discrepancy, see [14]), than the regular continued fractions. There is the next interrelation between the coefficients $a_k(x)$ and $\rho_k(x)$ and the coefficients $b_k(x)$ of expansion into regular continued fraction of number x (see [8]):

$$a_k = b_{\sigma(k)} + 2 - \rho_k - \rho_{k-1}, \qquad \rho_k = 0 \iff b_{\sigma(k)+1} = 1 \tag{11}$$

where the quantity σ $(= \sigma_x)$ is defined as follows: $\sigma(1) = 1, \ \ \sigma(k+1) = \sigma(k)+2-\rho_k \ \ (k \geq 1)$. We define also the functions λ $(= \lambda_x)$ and τ $(= \tau_x)$, are mentioned in our formulations: $\lambda(1) = 1, \ \lambda(k+1) = \lambda(k) + \tau(k) + 1$ where $\tau(1) = 1-\rho_1$ and $\tau(k+1) = \tau(k)$ whenever $a_{\lambda(k+1)}$ is odd, $\tau(k+1) = 1-\tau(k)$ whenever $a_{\lambda(k+1)}$ is even. For a set $E \subset N$ its density is defined as

$$dens(E) = \lim_{p\to\infty} p^{-1}|\{k \in E : 1 \leq k \leq p\}|) \quad \text{(here } |.| \text{ is power of the set)} \ .$$

The Theorems 2 - 4 express the rate of the split process, established in Theorem 1 (and which, we have assumed, is measured by the density of exceptional set), by the number-theoretical characteristics of the reduced frequency ω_i.

Theorem 2 *If the condition (4) is satisfied, and the function* μ $(= \mu_i)$ *is*

$$\mu(p) = 2p + 2 \sum_{k=1,\tau(k)=1}^{p} \rho_{\lambda(k)+1} \qquad (p = 1, 2, \ldots) \tag{12}$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_{\omega'_i}$, $\tau = \tau_{\omega'_i}$, *and* $\omega'_i = 1 - \omega_i$, *then for every* $p \geq 1$ *there is a finite partition of natural series into pairwise disjoint subsets,*

$$N = \sum_{s=1}^{\mu(p)} N_{i,s} + E_{i,p} \tag{13}$$

such that for each $1 \leq s \leq \mu$ *and all* $k \geq 1$ *the equations (6) hold and for the density of exceptional set* $E_{i,p}$ *we have*

$$dens(E_{i,p}) = \prod_{s=0}^{\lambda(p+1)-1} \psi^s(\omega'_i) = \prod_{s=1}^{\lambda(p+1)} [a_s(\omega'_i), \ldots ; \rho_s(\omega'_i), \ldots] \ . \tag{14}$$

By virtue of the relationships (11), the value of $dens(E_{i,p})$ in (14) can also be expressed directly through the coefficients b_k of the frequency ω_i. In such a way, we have all the quantities λ, μ and $dens(E_{i,p})$ in explicit form. Hence, it is not difficult to obtain from Theorem 2 many interesting particular relations giving the dependence of density of exceptional set $E_{i,p}$ on the arithmetical properties of the coefficients a_k and ρ_k or b_k.

The next statement is a reformulation of Theorem 2.

Corollary 2 *Let us have a conditionally periodic motion in cube* D^{n+1} *and for some* $1 \leq i \leq n$ *the condition (4) holds. Then for every* $p \geq 1$ *the boundary motion of i-th coordinate* $x_k^{(i)}$ *of the point* $\vec{x}_k \in B_{\vec{\theta}}$ *can be splitted into a finite set of* $\mu_i(p)$ *discrete uniform rotations and the split rate is equal to right-hand expression in Eq. (14).*

Theorem 3 *If the condition (4) is satisfied, then for a.e. (in sense of Lebesgue measure) numbers* $\omega_i \in (1/2, 1)$ *and enough large* $p \geq 1$ *the sequence* $B_{\bar{\theta},i}$ *can be splitted into* μ_i*,* $3p \leq \mu_i \leq 4p$ *subsequences of fractional parts of the form (6) in such a way, that for the density of exceptional set* $E_{i,p}$ *we have*

$$dens(E_{i,p}) = (1 + o(1)) \exp\left(-\gamma\, p\right) \qquad (p \to \infty)$$

where $0 < \gamma < \infty$ *is an absolute constant.*

By use of the explicit form of invariant measure of the ergodic shift ψ,

$$\psi([a_1, a_2, \ldots; \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots]) = [a_2, a_3, \ldots; \rho_2, \rho_3, \ldots]\ , \tag{15}$$

defined by Eq. (9) (see [8]), one can obtain the exact numerical value of this constant (see [8]):

$$\gamma = \frac{3}{2}\frac{Li_2(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{4}) - Li_2(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{4})}{\ln\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}} = 1.524\ldots$$

where Li_2 is the Euler dilogarithm ([15]). It is interesting to note that such a constant, is equal to $\frac{2}{3}\gamma$, is arised in a metrical theorem on estimating the discrepancy (see [14]).

The point (b) of the next theorem follows from the previous Theorem 3 and is given again just for completness.

Theorem 4 *If the condition (4) is satisfied, then the sequence* $B_{\vec{\theta},i}$ *can be splitted into* μ*,* $2p \leq \mu \leq 4p$ *subsequences of the form (6) in such a way, that for the density of the exceptional set* $E_{i,p}$ *from Eq. (13), the next statements are true:*

(a) there exists a constant $C > 0$ *such that for every* $\omega_i \in (1/2, 1)$ *we have*

$$dens(E_{i,p}) = O(\exp(-Cp)) \qquad (p \to \infty)\ ;$$

(b) for almost each $\omega_i \in (1/2, 1)$ *we have*

$$dens(E_{i,p}) = (1 + o(1)) \exp(-\gamma p) \qquad (p \to \infty) \ ;$$

(c) if the $\omega_i \in (1/2, 1)$ *is a Liouville number, then we have*

$$dens(E_{i,p}) = o(\exp(-C(p)p)) \qquad (p \to \infty)$$

where $C(p) \to +\infty$ *as* $p \to \infty$*; in dependens on* ω_i *the quantity* $C(p)$ *can tend to* $+\infty$ *as quickly as we like.*

The next corollary is the reformulation of Theorem 4. The distance between two motions, as it is mentioned above, should be understood in sense of density of the exceptional sets $E_{i,p}$ from Eq. (13).

Corollary 3 *If the condition (4) is satisfied, then the next 3 statements are true: (a) the (boundary motion of) conditionally periodic libration is ever approximated by a finite set of discrete rotations at least with the exponential rate; (b) the (boundary motion of) almost each conditionally periodic libration is approximated by a finite set of discrete rotations with the exponential rate; (c) there exist the conditionally periodic librations (the boundary motions of) which are as close to a finite set of discrete rotations, as we like.*

References

[1] H. Goldstein *Classical Mechanics*, Cambridge, Addison-Wesley, 1951.

[2] V. I. Arnold *Mathematical Methods in Classical Mechanics*, Moscow, Nauka, 1979 and New-York, Springer, 1978.

[3] I. P. Kornfeld, Ya. G. Sinai and S. V. Fomin *Ergodic Theory*, Moscow, Nauka, 1980.

[4] A. J. Lichtenberg and M. A. Lieberman *Regular and Stochastic motion*, Springer, New-York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1983.

[5] H. Weyl *Math. Ann.*, vol. 77, 1916.

[6] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Wiley, London, 1976.

[7] I. Prigogine *From Being to Becoming: Time and Complexity in the Physical Sciences*, San Francisco, Freeman, 1980.

[8] A. Yu. Shahverdian *Russ. Math. Surv.*, vol. 47, No. 5, 200-202, 1992.

[9] L. D. Landau and E. M. Liphshitz *Theoretical Physics. vol. 1. Mechanics*, Moscow, Nauka, 1973.

[10] H. G. Schuster *Deterministic Chaos*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1982

[11] G. M. Zaslavsky and R. Z. Sagdeev *Introduction to Nonlinear Dynamics* Moscow, Nauka

[12] J. W. S. Cassels *An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1957.

[13] W. M. Schmidt *Diophantine Approximation*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.

[14] H. Niederreiter and A. Yu. Shahverdian *On the discrepancy of billiard sequences and the sequences of fractional parts*, in preparation.

[15] H. Bateman and A. Erdelyi *Higher Transcendental Functions*, vol. 1, McGraw Hill, N-Y, Toronto, London, 1953.